UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/484,691	01/18/2000	Hashem Mohammad Ebrahimi	1565.035US1	9980
	7590 10/14/201 N, LUNDBERG & WO	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2938	3	COLIN, CARL G		
MINNEAPOLI	.5, MIN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2493		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/14/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@slwip.com request@slwip.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/484,691	EBRAHIMI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

CAF	RL COLIN	2493	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the s application, applicant must timely file one of the following replie application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (w for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1 periods:	es: (1) an amendment, affidavit vith appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w vith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ON MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). 	ry Action, or (2) the date set forth in nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on wh have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	n and the corresponding amount o ned statutory period for reply origin	f the fee. The appropria ally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within t	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pr (a) They raise new issues that would require further consider (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better fo appeal; and/or	ration and/or search (see NOT	E below); ucing or simplifying th	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corres NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 an 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	nd 41.33(a)). ee attached Notice of Non-Con —.	npliant Amendment (I	,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) whow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	vill not be entered, or b) will	•	_
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffice was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	icient reasons why the affidavit	or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Not entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overco showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	ome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal	and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the	he status of the claims after en	try is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does See continuation sheet of 3 below.	s NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO)	/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Carl Colin/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2493			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has amended the claims to add the features of "managing cookie communications, at the transparent proxy, the transparent proxy acting as an intermediary_ between the client and the origin server and the cookie communications are interactions between the client and the origin server where different client cookies and origin server cookies are expected to be present by the client and the origin server and client cookies presented to the origin server appear to the origin server to originate from the client when in fact the client cookies presented to the origin server originate from the transparent proxy." The proposed amendments cannot be entered as they raise new issues that would require further search and consideration. Applicant's arguments regarding Makarios are not persuasive because the proxy in Makarios strips the cookie and includes client's information request to appear to originate from the client to the server (see column 4, lines 30-49) and as mentioned in column 5, lines 52-64 the proxy can append a proxy cookie in the response to which the client will take to come from the Internet server. This is similar to Applicant's description of cookie management in the specification and meets the claimed recitation above as amended. For at least the reasons mentioned above, the request for reconsideration has been considered but does not place the application in condition for allowance.